CSUDH USLOAC Checklist for Evaluating Assessment Plans

Reviewer's Name	
Program Evaluated_	

	Criteria	Yes	No	Comment
1	PLOs are appropriate and			
	measurable			
2	PLO linked with institutional			
	learning outcome(s)			
3	The plan covers a 6-year program			
	review cycle			
4	Each PLO is assessed at least once			
	within a 6-year cycle			
5	Classes used for assessment are			
	identified			
6	Assessment methods include direct			
	evidence			
7	Evidence of student work linked to			
	each PLO specifically (not just the			
	same evidence for each PLO)			
8	Assessment methods include			
	indirect evidence			
9	Assessment is conducted by at			
	least two faculty (there is an			
	assessment committee)			
10	PLO-specific assessment rubrics			
	are used (not grading rubrics)			
11	There is a plan to discuss results at			
	the program faculty meetings and			
	act on action items			
12	There is a plan to implement and			
	assess recommended changes (re-			
12	assessment, closing the loop)			
13	The curriculum map displays			
	different levels of learning across			
	each PLO (e.g., introduced,			
1.4	developed, proficient) All PLOs are addressed within the			
14				
1.5	curriculum There are averaged standards of			
15	There are expected standards of			
	success for each level of learning			
	across each PLO (e.g., basic,			
	intermediate, advanced)			