## **Evaluation Rubric of Program Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Reports**

Criterion	Initial	Emerging	Developed	Highly Developed
Assessment Plan	<ul> <li>Assessment plan includes most but not all required elements.</li> <li>PLOs may or may not be aligned with relevant ILOs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment plan includes all required elements using the USLOAC template.</li> <li>PLOs are aligned with relevant ILOs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment plan includes all required elements using the USLOAC template.</li> <li>PLOs are aligned with relevant ILOs.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assessment plan includes all required elements using the USLOAC template.</li> <li>PLOs are aligned with relevant ILOs.</li> </ul>
Curriculum Map	Curriculum map may not be accurate or appropriate.	Curriculum map may not be accurate or appropriate.	<ul> <li>Curriculum map is accurate and appropriate with all relevant courses identified and assessed at different levels of proficiencies.</li> </ul>	Curriculum map is accurate and appropriate with all relevant courses identified and assessed at different levels of proficiencies.
Assessment Measures	<ul> <li>The measures depend heavily on course grades, GPA, or other gross measures of performance.</li> <li>There may also be a dependence upon indirect data.</li> <li>No explicit rationale for the measures is provided.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Direct measures are used (e.g., specific course assignments or performance other than course grades or GPA).</li> <li>Indirect measures may or may not be used.</li> <li>A rationale for the measures is provided but lacks detail or is unsound.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Direct measures are used (e.g., specific course assignments or performance other than course grades or GPA).</li> <li>Indirect measures may or may not be used.</li> <li>A rationale for the measures is provided that is clear and sound.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The measures include both direct (e.g., student course work) and indirect (e.g., student perceptions) evidence.</li> <li>A rationale for the measures is provided that is clear and sound.</li> </ul>
Assessment Rubrics	No rubrics are provided.	Rubrics are used, but not PLO- specific or only used grading rubrics.	<ul> <li>PLO-specific assessment rubrics are used to assess student work.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PLO-specific assessment rubrics are used to assess student work.</li> <li>Rubrics contain relevant characteristics of the PLO and detailed descriptions of different levels of learning.</li> </ul>
Analysis of Evidence	No evidence is collected.	Evidence is collected but not closely related to PLOs.	<ul> <li>The evidence collected relates to PLOs but the analysis lacks depth.</li> </ul>	The evidence collected relates to PLOs and has been analyzed in depth.
Student Awareness	No mention of student awareness of PLOs.	• No mention of student awareness of PLOs.	<ul> <li>Students are aware of PLOs (e.g., through program's website and/or course syllabi, etc.).</li> </ul>	• Students are aware of PLOs (e.g., through program's website and/or course syllabi, etc.).
DEI in Assessment	No mention of DEI in assessment.	No mention of DEI in assessment.	DEI in assessment is mentioned but lacks detail and depth.	<ul> <li>Provides strong evidence of integration of DEI into assessment (e.g., procedure, data analysis, suggested actions).</li> </ul>
Criteria for Success	Criteria for success are not stated.	<ul> <li>Criteria for success are stated but levels of performance are not appropriate or feasible for the degree level awarded.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Criteria for success are stated and levels of performance are appropriate and feasible for the degree level awarded.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Criteria for success are stated and levels of performance are appropriate and feasible for the degree level awarded.</li> </ul>
Program Improvement	No mention of actions for program improvements	<ul> <li>Needed improvements are identified</li> <li>Plan to implement and assess recommended changes is not clear</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Needed improvements are identified</li> <li>There is a clear plan to implement and assess recommended changes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Needed improvements are identified</li> <li>There is a clear plan to implement and assess recommended changes</li> <li>Addressed previous USLOAC feedback</li> <li>Previous actions were addressed (closing the loop)</li> </ul>

Sources: USLOAC Checklists for Evaluating Assessment Plans, Rubrics, Indirect Measures, and Reports; WASC Program Learning Outcomes: Rubric for Assessing the Quality of Academic Program Learning Outcomes.